

## DDDG Technical Subgroup Meeting 08

26 May 2026 at 11:30 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Attendees</b>	
Ahna Taylor [AT]	SSE
Alexander Pentecost [AP]	Eclipse Power
Chris Barker [CBa]	ENWL
Chris Berry [CBe]	SE First
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Ed Grimsey [EG]	BUUK
Erik Baguzis [EB]	Indigo Networks
Georgia Preece [GP]	NPg
Grae Davidson [GD]	Unknown
James Dorsey [JD]	Urban Chain
Joshua McGarry [JM]	SE First
Karl Maryon [KM]	Drax
Lilly Stanislas [LS]	Ecotricity
Sanjeev Kumar [SK]	EON
Seun Adedapo [SA]	NGED
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Furqan Aziz [FA]	Chair
Hannah Proffitt [HP]	Secretariat

## 1. Administration

### Recording

- 1.1 The Chair reminded members that this meeting is recorded. The purpose of this recording is purely to aid the Technical Secretariat in producing an accurate report of the meeting.

### Minutes of the Previous Meeting

- 1.2 No comments were raised.
- 1.3 The slides presented are included as **Attachment 1**.

## 2. Project Recap and Timeline

- 2.1 The Chair recapped the project's aim to create a standardised, web-based dashboard for network charging statements (CDCM tariff data), allowing users to filter, download, and utilise data from all network operators in a unified format.
- 2.2 The Chair advised that the team have now completed the data extraction successfully, with very few errors or anomalies. The Chair noted that the project has now moved into the technical build phase and that mock up images would be shared later in the meeting to ensure alignment with user requirements.
- 2.3 The timeline is below.

2026	
March	Board sign-off on MVP scope ✓
April / May	Initial data extraction ✓
May / June	Technical build
June	MVP launch
Jun / Jul	User testing (via technical subgroup)
Jul / Aug	MVP wider-release
Aug / Sep	Start Phase 2

## 3. Review JIRA Board

- 3.1 The Chair highlighted that at the previous meeting the group had reviewed five out of the six current JIRA tickets, and had agreed to review the last one in this meeting.

*Ticket 1277 - As a DCUSA User I want to filter the tariff table by charging year, so that I can view all rates for a specific year.*

- 3.2 CB noted that the 2028/2029 data will not be available until it is published at the end of the year. AT advised that the cost information review packs do contain the additional years. CB noted that that data

is forecasted and questioned whether it would be useful as it will unlikely reflect the final charges. AT noted that as these are publicly available forecasts, it would be useful for these to be included in the dashboard.

- 3.3 Members discussed the possibility of including a filter for forecast/published charges. Members also suggested that if this data is to be included, a caveat would be needed to highlight that the charges are forecasts and not final.
- 3.4 Members agreed that forecast charges from the cost information review packs should be included in Phase 2 of the project, not in the Minimum Viable Product (MVP).
- 3.5 The Chair asked members if they would need to view multiple charging years at a time on the dashboard. Members agreed they would. The Chair updated the ticket to reflect this.
- 3.6 AT asked how the dashboard/data will be managed and how long it will take between final charges being published and the dashboard reflecting these charges. AT noted that it would be ideal if the latest date for submission from DNOs and the date of publication by the Secretariat aligned.
- 3.7 The Chair confirmed that the upload process takes minutes, however that the Secretariat will need time to complete their checks and processes. The Chair noted that they will discuss this with the Secretariat.

Action 08/01 – The Chair to discuss with the Secretariat, the expected timeframe between publication of the final charges and the dashboard being updated to reflect them.

## **Scope Expansion – Inclusion of Time Bands**

- 3.8 The Chair advised that at the DCUSA Board meeting in May, members had agreed that Time Bands should also be included in the MVP. The Chair confirmed that Time Band information was already captured during the initial data extraction, enabling its inclusion in the dashboard without additional data collection.
- 3.9 Members agreed on the importance of displaying Time Bands, noting that these may differ across DNOs/regions and that this would need to be considered.
- 3.10 The Chair agreed to create a new user story and acceptance criteria for Time Bands, to be reviewed and signed off in the next session. The Chair agreed to include details of the nuances mentioned, in the ticket.

Action 08/02 – The Chair to create a new user story and acceptance criteria for Time Bands, to be reviewed and signed off in the next session.

## **Mock Ups**

- 3.11 The Chair presented two dashboard mock-up designs to the group, who discussed and voted on their preferences, ultimately selecting design A (filter bar at the top, as opposed to at the side) as the preferred layout.
- 3.12 Members raised the need for consistent representation of zero, blank, and not applicable values in the table, with the Chair agreeing to standardise these and seek subgroup approval.

- 3.13 JM asked about the columns included in CSV exports; the Chair clarified that the export will reflect the current table view, including any selected filters and columns.
- 3.14 SK questioned what the 'LDNO only' toggle would show. The Chair clarified that this is labelled incorrectly and will be an LLFC toggle which will aggregate the data. The Chair advised that there is a filter that will allow users to filter by LDNO.
- 3.15 GD asked what is meant by LDNO, as LDNO is not on annex 1. The Chair clarified it is DNO ID.

## 4. Guidance Document Feedback

---

- 4.1 The Chair advised that at the February Board meeting, Board members agreed for the DDDG TSG to capture the standardisation issues within a guidance document and pass it on to the LC14 Statement Review Group.
- 4.2 The Chair noted that they had created a draft document and circulated it for members to review ahead of the meeting. Three members had provided feedback via email, the Chair presented these comment for discussion.
- 4.3 One comment suggested, for Annex 5, that DNOs should include five time period columns to ensure consistent file format and structure. CO challenged this, noting that the BSCP requires a minimum of two and therefore some DNOs may push back on this. CO suggested that a change could be raised to increase the number of required time period columns.
- 4.4 Another comment highlighted that LLFCs contain inconsistencies that require users to clean the data before use. Specific issues include leading zeros being inconsistently applied and stray white spaces within LLFC values. The commenter noted that this is not an issue with the Market Wide Half Hourly, however is causing issues when loading statements into the legacy system.
- 4.5 CO suggested that if there is no LLFC for a particular tariff, then that should be left as a blank space. SK agreed that he could understand this point of view, however that it creates a lot of work from an operational perspective.
- 4.6 Another comment highlighted that the draft guidance document does not mention file name characters/length, noting that the macro they use has a limit. The commenter suggested that the file names should be consistent across all charging statements.
- 4.7 The Chair presented a redlined version of the guidance document, provided by CO. CO advised that his feedback was not suggesting any material changes, just wording/grammar changes.
- 4.8 The Chair agreed to forward the draft guidance document to CO, attaching the feedback received, to be presented to the LC14 Statement Review Group for review/decision. CO agreed to provide feedback to the DDDG TSG on the outcome of discussions.

Action 08/03 - The Chair to forward the draft guidance document to CO, attaching the feedback received, to be presented to the LC14 Statement Review Group for review/decision.
---

## 5. Any Other Business

---

- 5.1 The Chair asked members whether there was any other business, to which nothing was raised.

## Attachments

---

- Attachment 1 - DDDG TSG Meeting 08 Slides

## New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
08/01	The Chair to discuss with the Secretariat, the expected timeframe between publication of the final charges and the dashboard being updated to reflect them.	The Chair	<b>New action.</b>
08/02	The Chair to create a new user story and acceptance criteria for Time Bands, to be reviewed and signed off in the next session.	The Chair	<b>New action.</b>
08/03	The Chair to forward the draft guidance document to CO, attaching the feedback received, to be presented to the LC14 Statement Review Group for review/decision.	The Chair	<b>New action.</b>

## Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update